

Message

---

**From:** Thomas, Matthew (WES) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=USERS/CN=MTHOMAS]  
**Sent:** 10/21/2009 1:32:48 PM  
**To:** Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SONJA.FARAK]  
**Subject:** RE: call to drug analysis lab - week of October 5th

It would be great if you could send me everything in the case. I don't think you need to fax it. Regular mail is fine. You don't have an obligation to speak with the defense attorney. He had questions I couldn't answer regarding the testing process. I think he has a science background. I'm on trial today (wed) but if you have questions I'm at 747-1051.

Matt Thomas

---

**From:** Farak, Sonja (DPH)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 21, 2009 8:17 AM  
**To:** Thomas, Matthew (WES)  
**Subject:** call to drug analysis lab - week of October 5th  
ADA Thomas-

When I returned from vacation in early October, there was a note on my desk saying that you had requested a copy of my notes and data for drug lab number [REDACTED] and that Jim Hanchett had sent them to you. Yesterday I got a voice-mail from a public defender (Atty. Zheng, phone number 413-732-3107 x118) indicating that wanted to talk with me about the GC/MS on [REDACTED]. I wanted to check in with you before returning his call as I am not sure if this is the way I'm suppose to communicate with him. I'm guessing that one of his questions will be regarding why he only got the data for [REDACTED] and not the other two, but I'm not sure if there is anything else he wants to discuss. If that is the only question he has, I would have no problem faxing you the information for all three submissions so you could give him a copy. Thanks.

-Sonja Farak  
chemist, Amherst Drug Lab